

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 INTRODUCTION	1-1
Background	1-1
Project Location	1-1
Purpose/Legal Authority	1-3
Public and Agency Involvement	1-3
Scope of This EIR	1-5
Uses of the Draft EIR	1-6
Required Approvals	1-6
Areas of Controversy and Issues to be Resolved	1-9
2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION	2-1
Project Applicant	2-1
Project Objective	2-1
Proposed Project	2-1
Facility Dimensions and Capacity	2-2
Facility Construction	2-2
Construction Phasing and Schedule	2-7
Facility Operations	2-7
Reclamation	2-9
3 SUMMARY	3-1
Impact and Mitigation Summary	3-1
CEQA Required Sections	3-1
4 ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS	
4.1 Land Use and Policy Consistency	4.1-1
4.2 Geology and Soils	4.2-1
4.3 Groundwater	4.3-1
4.4 Surface Water	4.4-1
4.5 Biological Resources	4.5-1
4.6 Traffic	4.6-1
4.7 Air Quality	4.7-1
4.8 Hazardous Materials	4.8-1
4.9 Visual Resources	4.9-1
5 ALTERNATIVES	5-1
No Project Alternative	5-1
Reduced Footprint Alternative	5-1
Alternatives Considered and Rejected	5-5
Comparison of Alternatives	5-5
6 REFERENCES	6-1
7 EIR PREPARERS	7-1

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1	Regional Location Map	1-2
Figure 1-2	Area Location Map	1-4
Figure 2-1	Existing Facility	2-3
Figure 2-2	Expanded Facility Design Plan	2-4
Figure 4.1-1	Williamson Act Lands	4.1-2
Figure 4.2-1	Site Plan and Geologic Map	4.2-3
Figure 4.2-2	Special Studies Zones Fault Map	4.2-7
Figure 4.5-1	Location of Wetlands and Other Habitats	4.5-3
Figure 4.6-1	Site Location and Study Intersection Configuration	4.6-2
Figure 4.6-2	S.R. 129/Site Driveway	4.6-3
Figure 4.6-3	Traffic Volumes, S.R. 129/Rogge Lane Intersection	4.6-9
Figure 4.6-4	Traffic Volumes, S.R. 129/Site Driveway	4.6-10
Figure 4.9-1	Project Site Visual Setting Looking Northwest and North	4.9-2
Figure 4.9-2	Project Site Visual Setting Looking Southeast and South	4.9-3
Figure 4.9-3	Simulation from S.R. 129, Westbound	4.9-8
Figure 4.9-4	Simulation from S.R. 129, Eastbound	4.9-9
Figure 4.9-5	Simulation from S.R. 129, South of the Site	4.9-10
Figure 5-1	Off-Site Alternatives	5-2
Figure 5-2	Reduced Footprint Alternative	5-3

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1	Soda Lake Facility Typical Equipment	2-6
Table 3-1	Impact and Mitigation Summary	3-3
Table 4.1-1	Significance Criteria – Land Use and Policy Consistency	4.1-4
Table 4.1-2	Agricultural Land Classification on the Project Parcels	4.1-6
Table 4.1-3	Project Consistency with General Plan Policies	4.1-11
Table 4.1-4	Project Consistency with County Code Land Use Regulations	4.1-17
Table 4.1-5	Williamson Act Enrollment (1991-2001)	4.1-23
Table 4.2-1	Maximum Earthquake Magnitude	4.2-6
Table 4.2-2	Significance Criteria – Geology and Soils	4.2-11
Table 4.3-1	Hydraulic Conductivities	4.3-2
Table 4.3-2	Selected Groundwater Analytical Results from Soda Lake Basin Area	4.3-4
Table 4.3-3	Significance Criteria – Groundwater	4.3-6
Table 4.4-1	Significance Criteria – Surface Water	4.4-4
Table 4.5-1	Plant Communities and Corresponding Wildlife Habitat	4.5-2
Table 4.5-2	Plants and Wildlife Representative of Grasslands on the Project Site	4.5-5
Table 4.5-3	Plants and Wildlife Representative of Seasonal Freshwater Marsh on the Project Site	4.5-8
Table 4.5-4	Plants and Wildlife Representative of Woodlands on the Project Site	4.5-9
Table 4.5-5	Acreage of Waters of the United States, Including Wetlands to be Filled	4.5-11
Table 4.5-6	Special-status Plants Whose Distribution Overlaps with the Proposed Soda Lake Expansion Project Area	4.5-13
Table 4.5-7	Special-status Wildlife Species Whose Distribution Overlaps with the Proposed Soda Lake Expansion Project Area	4.5-18
Table 4.5-8	Significance Criteria – Biological Resources	4.5-41
Table 4.5-9	Types and Acreage of Proposed Created Wetlands and Riparian Woodland	4.5-51

Table 4.6-1	Level of Service Characteristics for Unsignalized Intersections	4.6-4
Table 4.6-2	Existing Condition Levels of Service (AM Peak Hour)	4.6-5
Table 4.6-3	Significance Criteria – Traffic	4.6-6
Table 4.6-4	Existing Plus Project Condition Levels of Service (AM Peak Hour).....	4.6-8
Table 4.7-1	Criteria Air Pollutant Effects and Sources	4.7-3
Table 4.7-2	Ambient Air Quality Standards	4.7-7
Table 4.7-3	Daily Maximum Ozone Measurements at Santa Cruz and Watsonville	4.7-8
Table 4.7-4	Daily Maximum PM10 Measurements at Santa Cruz, Watsonville and Moss Landing	4.7-9
Table 4.7-5	Significance Criteria – Air Quality	4.7-10
Table 4.7-6	Summary of Unmitigated Project Emissions by Activity.....	4.7-12
Table 4.7-7	Mitigation Measures for Heavy Duty Off-Road Diesel Engines	4.7-14
Table 4.8-1	Significance Criteria – Hazardous Materials	4.8-3
Table 4.9-1	Significance Criteria – Visual Resources	4.9-5
Table 5-1	Summary of Alternatives Considered but Rejected	5-5
Table 5-2	Alternatives Impact Comparison	5-7

ACRONYMS

APPENDIX A – INITIAL STUDY AND NOTICE OF PREPARATION

APPENDIX B – COMMENTS ON NOTICE OF PREPARATION

APPENDIX C – SODA LAKE FACILITY RECLAMATION PLAN

**APPENDIX D – GENERAL GUIDANCE FOR DETERMINATION OF
VISUAL IMPACT SIGNIFICANCE**

**APPENDIX E – GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY TECHNICAL
MEMORANDA**

APPENDIX F – AIR EMISSIONS CALCULATIONS

APPENDIX G – TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS REPORT

**APPENDIX H – BIOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT, SODA LAKE SETTLING
BASIN EXPANSION PROJECT**

**APPENDIX I – DELINEATION OF WATERS OF THE UNITED STATES,
INCLUDING WETLANDS, SODA LAKE SETTLING
BASIN EXPANSION PROJECT**